

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Reno-Tahoe Specialty, Inc.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
)
Mungchi, Inc.; *et al.*,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

Case No.: 2:12-cv-1051-GMN-VCF

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach, (ECF No. 125), which states that Plaintiff Reno-Tahoe Specialty, Inc.’s Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, (ECF No. 120), should be granted.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b).


Defendants did not file any objections to Judge Ferenbach’s R&R, and the deadline to object has now expired. Accordingly, the Court finds good cause to accept and adopt the findings of Judge Ferenbach.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 125), is **ADOPTED in its entirety.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Reno-Tahoe Specialty, Inc.’s Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, (ECF No. 120), is **GRANTED.**

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff Reno-Tahoe Specialty, Inc. is awarded
2 \$115,410.88 in attorneys' fees and costs.

3 **DATED** this 25th day of March, 2015.

4 
5
6 _____
Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Court